# MESS - Mass loss of Evolved StarS An overview

Martin Groenewegen martin.groenewegen@oma.be

on behalf of the MESS consortium





Herschel - Planck launch 14 May 2009





3.3m effective diameter3 year of Routine Phase starting Dec. 2009EoHe: 29 April 2013

#### **Herschel instruments**





#### PACS - SPIRE - HIFI

FWHM: 5.6, 6.8, 11.4" (PACS) (70, 100, 160µm)

18.1, 25.2, 36.6" (SPIRE) (250, 350, 500 μm)

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.4/63

# **Big Bang Theory**



#### **Evolved stars**



Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.6/63



#### Katrien Kolenberg

### Lifecycle of dust and gas



http://hea-www.cfa.harvard.edu/CHAMP/EDUCATION/PUBLIC/starlifecycles\_pics.html Belgrade, 4-3-14 - p.8/63

#### **Evolved stars GT Key Programs**

•MESS (Mass loss of Evolved StarS) PACS + SPIRE (PI: Martin Groenewegen PACS Co-PI:Christoffel Waelkens,KUL,IMEC,CSL) PACS (50-200  $\mu$ m) SPIRE (200-650  $\mu$ m) both have a bolometer array (FOV of a few arcmin) both have a spectrometer (R= 1000-2000)

•HIFISTARS - HIFI (PI: Valentin Bujarrabal)

•Other smaller programs in GT2, OT1, OT2

#### MESS

This GT KP aims at studying the circumstellar matter in evolved objects

• AGB, Post-AGB, PNe, RSG, WR, LBV, SN

- Photometric mapping of nearby objects
- Spectroscopy of nearby objects
- SPIRE and PACS
- Mass-loss dominates the evolution How? How much? Time evolution? Spherical? Production of dust
- M(Z)AGB vs. SN gas & dust return at high-z



Fig. 1. 90  $\mu$ m image of Y CVn taken with PHT-CI 00 array detector and C90 filter displayed in linear brightness scale.



Fig. 2. 160 µm image of Y CVn taken with PHT-C200 array detector and C160 filter displayed in linear brightness scale.

#### Y CVn Izumiura et al. (1996), $8' \times 35'$ ISOPHOT map

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.11/63

## **Spectroscopy of nearby objects**

# Goal: Study of dust properties, molecular lines, emission lines



NGC 6302; Molster et al., SWS + LWS spectrum

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.12/63

#### **Dust and Ices**

mineral	chemical	'60+' band
	formula	positions [ $\mu$ m]
fosterite	$Mg_2SiO_4$	69–70
fayalite	$Fe_2SiO_4$	93–94, 110
diopside	$CaMgSi_2O_6$	65-66
calcite	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	92
dolomite	$CaMg(CO_3)_2$	62
water ice	$H_2O$	62
methanol ice	$\alpha$ -CH $_3$ OH	68, 88.5
dry ice	$\mathrm{CO}_2$	85
PAHs "flopping modes"		(far-IR)

### **Partners involved**

Partner	"origin"	hours	special interest
Belgium	PACS GT	145	KUL (AGB, post-AGB, PN, WR, LBV)
			ROB (AGB, PN)
			ULB (binary AGB)
			IAGL (WR, LBV)
Vienna	PACS GT	47	AGB
Heidelberg	PACS GT	10	SN remnants
SAG 6	SPIRE GT	80	SN, AGB, post-AGB, PN
HSC	HSC	26	special type of post-AGB
MS	MS	5	Molecules in specific stars
		313	

#### **Implementation (Photo)**

PACS: "Scan Maps" at 70 + 160 μm 78 AGB/RSG, 16 post-AGB/PN, 8 WR/LBV, 5 SN SPIRE: "Large maps" at 250, 350, 500 μm 26 AGB/RSG, 8 post-AGB/PN, 5 SN

### **Implementation (Spectro)**

PACS: Concatenation of two AORs to cover entire  $60-210 \ \mu m region$ Spatial information:  $5 \times 5$  pixels =  $47'' \times 47''$ 27 AGB/RSG, 26 post-AGB/PN, 2 WR/LBV, 4 SN **SPIRE**: Complete FTS scan in a single AOR 9 AGB/RSG, 10 post-AGB/PN, 2 WR/LBV, 5 SN

#### Results

8 papers in the A&A Volume 518 Special Issue (2010)
+ 1 *Nature* paper (2010)
+ Overview paper
(Groenewegen et al. 2011, A&A 526, A162)
+ 8 other refereed papers

*-Not covered:* Massive stars *-Not covered:* SNe *-Not covered:* PNe
In more detail: *-AGB imaging -AGB spectroscopy*

# **AGB star imaging**

- "detached shell" objects
- CW Leo
  - bow shock
  - inner parts
  - phase-lag distance
- Betelgeuze and its environment
- interaction CSE with the ISM

#### **Detached shells**



TT Cyg; Olofsson et al. (2000). PdB CO (1-0) Short-duration large mass-loss rate event

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.19/63

#### **Detached shells**



Kerschbaum et al. (2010)

PACS: blue / red / combined

AQ And, U Ant, TT Cyg

#### **Detached shells**



AQ And=+ U Ant=◊ TT Cyg= $\times$ DUSTY multipleshells

Kerschbaum et al. (2010, A&A Special Issue)

## **MoD - More of DUSTY**

- Improved DUSTY (discontinuous density distribution,  $\sim r^{-p}$ )
- embedded DUSTY code into a minimisation routine
- Can fit photometry, spectra, intensity distributions and visibility data
- Groenewegen 2012, A&A 543, A36
- AQ And: 7 parameters  $L, \tau_V, R_{in,shell}, \Delta R_{shell}, p_0, p_{shell}$ , "density jump"





## **ALMA - Synergy**



Maercker et al. (2012, Nature 490, 232) R Scl CO(3-2) 40"x 40"

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.25/63



Herschel view at 70  $\mu$ m. Note different spatial scale !!

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.26/63

#### **CW Leo - bowshock**



GALEX NUV/FUV composite (left), FUV (right). Sahai & Chronopoulos (2010)

#### **CW Leo - bowshock**



PACS 160 and SPIRE 250 micron  $23' \times 27'$  (Ladjal et al. 2010)

#### **CW Leo - bowshock**



Intensity profiles FUV, 160, 250,350,550 micron  $T_{\text{dust}} = 25 \text{ K}$   $V_{\star \text{relativeISM}} = 107/\sqrt{n_{\text{ISM}}} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ 



(Mauron & Huggins 1999) *V*-band, FoV= 223 x 223"

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.30/63



Combined image of the PACS 70  $\mu$ m (green), PACS 100  $\mu$ m (red) and V-band (blue). FoV= 204 x 204"

Decin et al. (2011) non-isotropic mass-loss events and clumpy dust formation

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.31/63



A model (Decin et al. 2011)



#### (Menshchikov et al. 2002) *K*-band speckle FoV= 1 x 1"

#### **CW Leo - Distance**

CW Leo (= IRC +10 216 = AFGL 1381) *Two-micron Sky Survey* (Becklin et al. 1969)

d = 110-135 pc (Groenewegen et al. 1998) Dust and molecular radiative-transfer models were used to fit simultaneously the available photometric data, the *IRAS* LRS spectrum, near- and mid-IR interferometric observations, and CO J= 1-0 up to 6-5 molecular line emission data.

Pulsation Period:  $644 \pm 17$  days (Witteborn et al. 1980),  $636 \pm 3$  days (Ridgway & Keady 1988), 638 days (Dyck et al. 1991)

5 epochs (2 MESS + 3 DDT (PI. Groenewegen))



Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.35/63



Groenewegen et al. (2011)

The phase lag of  $(402 \pm 37)$  days
### **CW Leo - Distance**

Angular separation between the emission of the central star and the bow shock is  $(534 \pm 16)''$ .

If the bow shock were located in the plane-of-the-sky, the distance to CW Leo would follow immediately as  $d = 130 \pm 13$  pc. And this is a strict upperlimit.

Can one do better?

#### **INTERMEZZO** !

## **Interaction ISM**



bow shock: where  $V_{\text{ISM}}$  goes from super- to subsonic astropause: where  $P_{\text{ISM}} = P_{\text{CSE}}$ termination shock: where  $V_{\text{CSE}}$  goes from super- to subsonic

#### Wilkin model



Thin-shell shock model (Wilkin 1996)

## Wilkin model

$$R(\theta) = R_0 \sqrt{3 \cdot (1 - \theta / \tan(\theta))} / \sin(\theta)$$

standoff distance:

$$R_0 = \sqrt{(\dot{M} V_{\rm exp})/(4\pi \,\rho_0 \,V_{\rm w}^2)}$$

## **3D Wilkinoid**

- Monte Carlo simulation
- Fit the outline to an observed profile





### **CW Leo - Distance**

For any *i*, predict true distance between bowshock and central star

Radial velocity + proper motions  $\Rightarrow i = -33.3 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$ 

We assume that the relative peculiar velocity between the ISM and the star is determined entirely by the stars space velocity with respect to the local standard of rest (LSR) In other words, we assume that there is no flow of the ISM itself.

Current best estimate of the distance to CW Leo  $d = 123 \pm 14$  pc; mean  $L = 7790 \pm 150$  L<sub> $\odot$ </sub>

## $\alpha$ Ori / Betelgeuse



#### Decin et al. (2012, A&A 548). ESA press release.

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.45/63

## $\alpha$ Ori / Betelgeuse

Only object imaged with multiple arcs Combines *WISE*, 21 cm GALFA-HI, UV *GALEX* 

"Based on the observations and on hydrodynamical simulations, different hypotheses are formulated to explain the origin of the multiple arcs and to understand why no large-scale instabilities are seen in the bow shock region. In our opinion, the two main ingredients to explain both features are (1) a clumpy mass-loss process and (2) the influence of the Galactic magnetic field."

#### The Zoo



Cox et al. (2012 A&A 537) "fermata", "eyes", "irregular", and "rings" stand-off distances, ISM densities







## **Hydro Models**



# Wareing et al. (2007)

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.50/63

### Models



#### van Arle et al. (2011)

## **AGB star - Spectroscopy**

• CW Leo

-Water (Decin et al. 2010, Nature)

-HCl lines from J=1-0 up to J=7-6 have been detected. (Cernicharo et al. 2010, A&A Special Issue)

-Tens of lines from SiS and SiO, including lines from the v=1 vibrational level. Both species trace the dust formation zone. (Decin et al. 2010, A&A Special Issue)

## **AGB star - Spectroscopy**

• AFGL 2688, AFGL 618 and NGC 7027 Wesson et al. 2010, A&A special issue

• VY CMa Royer et al. 2010, A&A special issue

• Dust

### **CW Leo - Water**



Decin et al. 2010, Nature 467, 64

### **CW Leo - Water**



#### 1 line with SWAS

Melnick et al. 2001, Nature 412, 160 "Discovery of water vapour around IRC +10216 as evidence for comets orbiting another star"





#### 39 ortho-H<sub>2</sub>O and 22 para-H<sub>2</sub>O with $T_{ex}$ up to 1000 K

"A plausible explanation for the warm water appears to be the penetration of ultraviolet photons deep into a clumpy circumstellar envelope. This mechanism also triggers the formation of other molecules, such as ammonia, whose observed abundances are much higher than hitherto predicted" Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.56/63



Wesson al. et (2010).Continuumsubtracted **SPIRE FTS** specof tra NGC 7027 (black), **AFGL 618** (red) and **AFGL 2688** (blue)



#### VY CMa, Royer et al. (2010)

### **Dust spectroscopy**



de Vries et al. (2014)

#### Fosterite at $69\mu m$

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.59/63

#### Lorentzian fitting - examples



Joris Blommaert et al. (in press); MESS + GT1 + OT1 (both JB) 14 OH/IR, 14 post-AGB, 10 PNe, 8 Massive evolved stars Pure Mg-rich for both disk and outflow sources, <200 K.

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.60/63

## Conclusions

- Detected "old" dust mass loss in AGB stars !
- Interaction with the ISM is common
- Influence of binary comparion
- ....and this can happen all at the same time....!
- Line spectroscopy succesfull, and high potential
  - Ongoing improvements in data reduction in spectroscopy ; RSRF; pointing jitter
- Up to the modellers ....
  - Dust + molecules RT modelling ...!!
  - Hydrodynamical simulations ...!!

## This MESS is produced by

A. Baier, M. Barlow, B. Baumann, J. Blommaert, J. Bouwman,
P. Cernicharo, L. Decin, L. Dunne, K. Exter,
P. Garcia-Lario, H. Gomez, M.A.T. Groenewegen, P. Hargrave,
Th. Henning, D. Hutsemékers, R. Ivison, A. Jorissen,
F. Kerschbaum, O. Krause, D. Ladjal, T. Lim, M. Mecina,
W. Novotny-Schipper, G. Olofsson, R. Ottensamer,
E. Polehampton, Th. Posch, G. Rauw, P. Royer, B. Sibthorpe,
B. Swinyard, T. Ueta, C. Vamvatira-Nakou, B. Vandenbussche,
G. Van de Steene, S. van Eck, P. van Hoof, H. Van Winckel,
E. Verdugo, C. Waelkens, R. Wesson

FWF-projects: P18939-N16 & I163, P21988 FWO STFC ASAP-CO-016/03 PRODEX C90371

## THE END

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.63/63

The Herschel MESS Supernova Programme Targets: 4 historical supernova remnants in the Mill Way

#### M(dust) (T<sub>d</sub><50K)

1680	IIb	Cas A	0.10 Msun	Barlow et al (2010)
1604	Ia	Kepler	0.003 Msun	Gomez et al (2012a
1572	Ia	Tycho	0.009 Msun	Gomez et al (2012a
1054	Π	Crab	0.10-0.24 Msun	Gomez et al (2012

All young: so swept-up interstellar gas mass is low



## **SN remnant: Cas A**



#### Barlow et al. (2010)



Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.66/63

### **SN remnants**

• non-thermal component: based on 6-cm VLA and 3.6- $\mu$ m IRAC image (*Planck*, *WISE*)

• line contributions: dedicated PACS/SPIRE spectroscopy & archival LWS spectrum (Cas A: ~ 5%, ~ 10% neglegible at 70,100,160  $\mu$ m)

Crab A: T= 56 K, M= 0.008 M\_{\odot}; T= 28 K, M= 0.24 M\_{\odot} (silicates)

Cas A: T= 82 K, M= 0.003 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>; T= 35 K, M= 0.08 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> Kepler: T= 82 K, M= 0.003 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>; cool comp, M <0.07 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> Tycho: T= 90 K, M= 0.009 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>; cool comp, M <0.07 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>

"...that significantly less dust forms in the ejecta of Type Ia supernovae than in the remnants of core-collapse explosions."





#### X Her & TX Psc (Jorissen et al. submitted)

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.69/63





## **Binarity**

#### "Herschel's view into Mira's head", Mayer, Jorissen et al. (2011)

#### R Aql & W Aqr; Mayer, Jorissen et al. (2013)


with countours and arcs labelled, (d) toy model

Belgrade, 4-3-14 – p.73/63

## Mira

. . .

"The overall shape of the IR emission around Mira deviates significantly from the expected alignment with Mira's exceptionally high space velocity.

By comparing Herschel and GALEX data, we found evidence for the disruption of the IR arcs by the fast outflow visible in both H $\alpha$  and the far UV.

Mira's IR environment appears to be shaped by the complex interaction of Mira's wind with its companion, the bipolar jet, and the ISM. "

## **Planetary Nebulae**

Imaging: NGC 650, 3587, 6543, 6720, 6853, 7027, 7293

Spectroscopy: NGC 6302, 6537, 6543, 7027

NGC 6720 (van Hoof et al. 2010); NGC 650 (van Hoof et al. 2013), NGC 7027 (in prep.)

Time consuming: -Cloudy modelling -Adding loads of literature data (different apertures...)

## NGC 6720



## van Hoof et al. (2010) PACS 60 and 160 micron



Overlay of the  $H_2$  2.12 µm emission (contours) on the PACS 70 µm image of NGC 6720 showing the dust emission. The detailed match between the  $H_2$  and dust emission appears to be the first observational evidence that  $H_2$  forms on oxygen-rich dust grains.

- We have developed a photoionization model of the nebula with the Cloudy code, which we used to investigate possible formation scenarios for H<sub>2</sub>.
- We conclude that the most plausible scenario is that the H<sub>2</sub> resides in high density knots which were formed after the recombination of the gas started when the central star luminosity dropped steeply around 1000-2000 years ago.
- The models show that H<sub>2</sub> formation in the knots is expected to be substantial since then, and may well still be ongoing at this moment.
- van Hoof et al. 2010, A&A, 518, L137