Determining of the evolutionary status of supernova remnants


Dejan Urošević

Department of Astronomy, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade


144 CRAB

Department of Astronomy Seminar Series, May 11th, 2021.

Cas A



Tycho SNR



Concave-down

+ DSA effects

+ DSA effects

Observational effects

Observational effects

For example, \$147,

HB 21, JO455-6838



Determining the evolutionary status of supernova remnants

The determination of the evolutionary stage for a supernova remnant is a demanding task. This guide for radio observers presents a relatively straightforward way to establish the evolutionary phase of newly observed supernova remnants.

Dejan Urošević

mong other properties, those derived from radio continuum observations of supernova remnants (SNRs) include the radio surface brightness (Σ) and diameter (D). The evolution of an SNR can be described by changes in the surface brightness with increasing diameter, that is, the so-called Σ -D relation^{1,2}. We propose that the precise evolutionary stage of an SNR can be determined by performing three assessments: a method based on the radio surface brightness evolution of an SNR with the increase of its diameter is the first ingredient in the concept introduced here. The second method is based on the different forms of SNR radio continuum spectra3. For the different stages of evolution of an SNR, the forms of their radio spectra are different, and these forms can be defined by the values of the flux densities at different frequencies. Finally, the third method is based on the magnetic field strengths in SNRs. The equipartition (eqp) calculation model4 is used for the determination of the magnetic field strength. Younger SNRs provide conditions for stronger magnetic fields, while older SNRs have weaker ones. All three methods mentioned here are based on the diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) model — the DSA theory of particle acceleration describes the production of high-energy particles, so-called cosmic rays, on the strong shock waves of SNRs.

The new determination of evolutionary

We can start with the Σ -D analysis for determination of the evolutionary status of an observed SNR in the radio regime. The theoretically derived evolutionary paths from ref. 5 are shown in Fig. 1.

For a given combination of the supernova (SN) explosion energy and ambient density, a line in Fig. 1 represents an evolutionary path. The evolution of a very young SNR in the early free-expansion phase is represented by the rising part of an evolutionary path. The late free-expansion phase corresponds

to the part of a line when it starts to decline. After that an SNR enters the early Sedov phase of evolution, with the steepest constant slope of an evolutionary path corresponding to the full Sedov phase of evolution. The lines terminate at the end of the late Sedov phase. The Σ -Dtracks obtained by these supercomputer stmulations do not cover the radiative phases of evolution. Radio observers can use the observationally derived quantities in Fig. 1 to locate newly detected SNRs somewhere in the Σ -D plane. Moreover, they can estimate the SN explosion energies and the ambient densities into which SNRs expand. An interesting aspect obtained from these simulations is that the evolutionary paths in Fig. 1 are very close together and intercept one another. Due to this we can expect that a unique Σ -D track does not exist for each analysed SNR. Therefore we must use the next method to refine the determination: the form of the spectrum of a newly observed SNR should be checked. The spectral index value (α) , or whether or not a spectrum is curved, can be analysed. By using Table 1 and the analysis in ref. 3, more information on the evolutionary status of an SNR can be obtained. Generally, from the form of the SNR spectrum we can estimate the age of an analysed SNR - is it young or evolved?

By inspection of Table 1, we can see that the concave-up spectra can represent both young and evolved SNRs. Also, at the start of SNR evolution the spectral index slopes are steeper than 0.5. This is a consequence of strong non-linear DSA effects. On the other hand, for evolved SNRs the steeper spectral slopes are consequences of low-efficiency particle acceleration. We can combine the Σ -D and spectral form methods. If we again do not obtain a unique conclusion, we should use one further method: the eqp calculation for determination of the magnetic field strengths. Determination of the magnetic field strengths by the eqp method is a very straightforward process.




Fig. 1 | Radio surface brightness-to-diameter diagram for SNRs at 1 GHz. Different line colours correspond to the different ambient densities of the circumstellar environment, nu, and different line styles correspond to the different explosion energies, E_n. Experimental data represent 65 Galactic SNRs with known distances (triangles) taken from ref. 4. Cas A is shown with an open triangle, while an open circle represents the youngest Galactic SNR, G1.9+0.3 (see ref. 7 for detailed modelling). Numbers 1-4 represent specific SNRs: (1) CTB 37A, (2) Kes 97, (3) CTB 37B and (4) G65.1+0.6. The figure shows an injection parameter $\xi = 3.4$ and nonlinear magnetic field damping parameter $\zeta = 0.5$. For more details see ref. 5. Figure adapted with permission from ref. 5, AAS.

Observers should obtain from their observations the radio flux density at a particular frequency for an SNR, the spectral index, distance, and volume-filling factor (the volume of the synchrotron-emitting shell). These quantities can be combined

	10 ⁻¹⁶ g	
Z, on (W m ⁻² Hz ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹)	Ē	$\cdots E_0 (10^{61} \text{ erg}) = 0.5$ $- \cdot E_0 (10^{61} \text{ erg}) = 1.0$
	10-17	-E ₀ (10 ⁶¹ erg) = 2.0
	E	n _H (cm) = 0.005, 0.02 0.2, 0.5, 2.0
	10-18	
	10 ⁻¹⁰	A
	107-20	300 1,]
	E	
	10-21	
	Ē	M /
	10-22	/////
	Ē	ξ= 3.4, ζ= 0.5
	10-23	

evolutionary tracks for representative cases with

for the one of the youngest Galactic SNRs, Cassiopeia A (Cas A, approximately 330 years old). This SNR is the most radio-luminous SNR in our Galaxy. It has been studied many times and we know the phase of evolution that it is in. Cas A is shown by an open triangle in Fig. 1. The interesting fact that should be emphasized here is that SNRs do not exhibit the rising part of surface brightness evolution for expansion in higher-than-average environmental densities5. The estimated evolutionary status of Cas A using Fig. 1 is as follows: it is a young SNR, expanding in a dense environment, between average and high density, and the SN explosion energy is higher than average. The spectral index of Cas A is very steep ($\alpha = 0.77$) and the spectrum is slightly concave-up12. These

findings support that Cas A is a very young

curved spectral form3,12. The electron eqp

magnetic field strength of >500 µG (ref. 13).

By using the concept presented here, Cas A

magnetic field strength is 760 µG (ref. 8),

in agreement with an observed average

SNR in which non-linear effects provide the

Table 1 | Different forms of SNR radio spectra

Test particle DSA

Steep ($\alpha > 0.5$)

Test particle DSA

Amplified magnetic field +

quasi-perpendicular shocks

For example, Cas A, G1.9+0.3

Theoretically predicted spectral forms and some examples of observationally obtained radio spectra of shell-like, composite and mixed-morphology SNRs from ref. ?

For example, HB3, HB9

 $\alpha = 0.5$

(a = 0.5)

For example,

Lupus loops

according to the theory developed in refs. 6-8

or, for simplicity, the eqp calculator can be

used. After a split second the egp magnetic

formulae from the abovementioned papers.

The higher the calculated magnetic field, the

The evolutionary status of an SNR can

previously described methods. This concept

for determining the evolutionary status of

(Galactic and several extra-Galactic) SNRs

was successfully applied to newly observed

As an example, we present the analysis

be preliminarily determined with optimal

reliability by combining all three of the

field strength (and minimal total energy)

will be calculated according to the final

younger the newly observed SNR.

SNRs in, for example, refs. 9-11

Monoceros and

Theoretical predictions

Funland SNRs DSA

Observational examples Young SNRs

Evolved SNRs

is a young SNR in the late free-expansion phase. This conclusion is in very good agreement with the known facts for Cas A. It is a so-called oxygen-rich SNR, which evolves in a high-density medium (~3 cm-3; see ref. 14 and references therein). The Σ-D tracks from Fig. 1 indicate that Cas A is expanding in a slightly lower density environment, and due to this they give a slightly older evolutionary status. Here we should emphasize that, given its place on the radio Σ -D diagram, Cas A is not a standard SNR. It is an extremely bright Galactic SNR (see Fig. 1). We obtain a reliable evolutionary phase for Cas A even though it is an object of extreme characteristics.

Flat (a < 0.5)

DSA (Fermi 1) + Stochastic

acceleration (Fermi 2)

DSA + stochastic

For example, W 28,

Kes 67, 3C434.1

acceleration

The youngest Galactic SNR is G1.9+0.3 (120 years old15, stentified by an open circle in Fig. 1). It is a low-brightness remnant for its diameter, in contrast to Cas A. Our estimate is that it is in the free-expansion phase around maximum brightness. Observations from the last forty years demonstrate that the radio brightness of this SNR is increasing (for details, see ref. 15). Again, we obtain a reliable evolutionary phase for G1.9+0.3, but we miss its sub-phase.

Finally, by using the concept presented here, we can freely conclude that the preliminary estimate of the evolutionary status for a newly observed SNR can be achieved in a very simple and fast way.

Here we have suggested a new concept for the preliminary determination of the evolutionary status of SNRs. This is based on a combination of three different methods that use data obtained by radio observations in continuum. The first is based on the Σ -Dtracks, where we try to find the location of an observationally obtained radio surface

brightness and corresponding diameter of an SNR in the Σ -D plane. The second is based on the form of the radio spectra. Finally, the third is based on the magnetic field strengths estimated by the equipartition calculation. Each of these methods have been continuously developed over the last two decades by the Belgrade SNR Research Group.

Curved radio spectra

Concave-up

Non-linear DSA

or spinning dust

Kepler, SN 1006

3C396

Synchrotron + bremsstrahlung

For example, Cas A, Tycho,

For example, IC 443, 3C391,

Delan Urošević D □


Department of Astronomy, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia. 10e-matl: dejanu@matf.bg.ac.rs

Published online: 23 September 2020 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-020-01228-5


- 1. Shklovskii, I. S. Autron, Zh. 37, 256-262 (1960).
- Shklovskii, I. S. Autron. Zh. 37, 369-378 (1960).
- Urolević, D. Astrophys. Space Sci. 354, 541–552 (2014).
 Pacholiczyk, A. G. Radio Astrophysics Nonthermal Processes in
- Galactic and Extragalactic Sources (Processes, 1970).
- 5. Pavlović, M. Z. et al. Autrophys. J. B52, 84 (2018). 6. Arbuttra, B. Urošević, D., Andielić, M. M., Pavlović, M. Z. &
- Vakotić, B. Astrophys. J. 746, 79 (2012).
- 7. Arbultra, B., Urolević, D., Vačetić, M. M., Pavlović, M. Z. & Vakotić, B. Astrophys. J. 777, 31 (2013).
- B. Urolević, D., Pavlović, M. Z. & Arbutina, B. Autrophys. J. 855. 59 (2018).
- 9. Bozzetto, L. M., Filipović, M. D., Urolević, D. & Crawford, E. J. Serb. Autron. J. 185, 25-33 (2012).
- 10. De Horta, A. Y. et al. Mon. Not. R. Autron. Soc. 428,
- 11. loseph, T. D. et al. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 490, 1202-1219
- 12. Ontć, D. & Urošević, D. Astrophys. J. 805, 119 (2015).
- 13. Vink, J. & Larning, J. M. Astrophys. J. 584, 758-769 (2003). 14. Arbuttra, B. & Urolević, D. Mon. Not. R. Autron. Soc. 360.
- 15. Parlović, M. Z. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 468, 1616-1630
- 16. Pavlović, M. Z., Dobardžić, A., Vakotić, B. & Urošević, D. Serb.

We thank the Belgrade SNR Research Group (called Supernovashi'), the results mentioned here belong to all of us: R. Arbuttna, R. Vukotić, D. Onić, M. Vučetić,


$\Sigma - D$ dependence




Pavlović et al. (2018)




Spectra of SNRs (Cas A - Onić & Urošević (2015))






nonlinear particle acceleration + thermal absorption + dust emission



Evolved SNRs - curved (concaveup) spectra




SYNCHROTRON

+

BREMSSTRAHLUNG EMISSION

- Onić et al. (2012)
- Onić (2013a,b)


Evolved SNRs - curved (concave-down) spectra



 DSA theory with the effect of synchrotron losses within the finite emission region


[→] The radio spectrum of LMC SNR J0455-6838 (Crawford et al. 2008).

Evolved SNRs - curved (concave-down) spectra



Radio spectrum of HB21 (Pivato et al. 2013)

Equipartition through SNR evolution




Urošević, Pavlović, Arbutina (2018)

Determination of evolutionary status of SNRs detected by radio observations by using:

Evolution of SNRs in radio ($\Sigma - D$ tracks)

Radio spectra of SNRs

Equipartition



Summary on the different forms of continuum radio spectra


2000										
theoretical predictions										
	linear radio spectra		curved radio spectra							
	$\alpha = 0.5$	steep $(\alpha > 0.5)$	flat ($\alpha < 0.5$)	concave-up	concave-down					
young SNRs	test particle	ampl. mag. field +	DSA +	non-linear	obs. effects +					
	DSA	quasi-perp. shocks	Fermi 2	DSA	DSA effects					
evolved SNRs DSA		test particle	DSA +	synch. + brem.	obs. effects +					
		DSA	Fermi 2	or spin. dust	DSA effects					
from observations										
	linear radio spectra		curved radio spectra							
	$\alpha = 0.5$	steep $(\alpha > 0.5)$	flat $(\alpha < 0.5)$	concave-up	concave-down					
young SNRs	/	e.g. Cas A,	/	e.g. Tycho,						
		G1.9+0.3		Kepler, SN1006						
evolved SNRs	evolved SNRs e.g. Monoceros and e		e.g. W28,	e.g. IC443,	e.g. S147, HB21,					
Lupus loops			Kes67, 3C434.1	3C391, 3C396	J0455-6838					

Table from Urošević (2014)

http://poincare.matf.bg.ac.rs/~arbo/eqp

Equipartition calculation for supernova remnants

If you are using this calculator, please cite: B. Arbutina, D. Urošević, M. M. Andjelić, M. Z. Pavlović and B. Vukotić, "Modified equipartition calculation for supernova remnants", 2012, Astrophys. J., 746, 79 (arXiv:1111.5465). See the above paper for the explanation what this programme does. For more information contact: arbo@math.rs.



3	L i ⁷	₄ Be ⁹												6C12	7 ^{N14}	₈ O ¹⁶	₉ F ¹⁹
)	0											0 0	0	0	0	0
	Na ²³	12 ^{Mg²⁴⁻²⁶} 0 0 0										13 Al²⁷	14Si ²⁸⁻³⁰ 0 0 0	15 ^{p31}	16 0 0 0 0	17 ^{Cl^{35/37}}	
	_, K ^{40*}	0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0	₂₃ V ⁵¹	24Cr ⁵²⁻⁵⁴ 0 0 0	25 ^{Mn⁵⁵}	26Fe ⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ 0 0 0	₂₇ Co ⁵⁹	28 Ni ^{58/60-62/64} 0 0 0 0	₂₉ Cu ^{63/65}	₃₀ Zn ^{64*}	0 0	0 0	0	Se ^{74/76-78/80} 0 0 0 0 0 0	35Br ^{79/81}
	,Rb ⁸⁵	38 Sr ^{84/86-88} 0 0 0 0		₄₀ Zr ⁹⁰⁻⁹² 0 0 0	41 Nb ⁹³	42Mo ^{92/94-98} 0 0 0 0 0	43 ^{Tc^{98*}}	44Ru ^{96/98-102/104} 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	₄₅ Rh ¹⁰³	Pd ^{102/104-106} 466 /108/110 0 0 0 0 0	47Ag ^{107/109}	48Cd ¹¹⁰⁻¹¹² 000	49 In ¹¹³	Sn ^{112/114-120} 50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Te ^{122/124-126} 0 0 0 0	53 I ¹²⁷

Belgrade SNR group



Better part...



THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION!!!